# EIGHTY-FOURTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY 2012 REGULAR SESSION DAILY HOUSE CLIP SHEET

FEBRUARY 21, 2012

#### HOUSE FILE 2318

H-	8	0	2	5

- 1 Amend House File 2318 as follows:
- 2 1. Page 1, after line 16 by inserting:
- 3 <Sec. . EFFECTIVE UPON ENACTMENT. This Act,
- 4 being deemed of immediate importance, takes effect upon
- 5 enactment.>
- 6 2. Title page, line 2, after <certificates> by
- 7 inserting <and including effective date provisions>
- 8 3. By renumbering as necessary.

By LENSING of Johnson
JORGENSEN of Woodbury

H-8025 FILED FEBRUARY 20, 2012



### **Fiscal Note**



Fiscal Services Division

SF 2161 – Earned Income Tax Credit to 13% (LSB 5477SV.1)

Analyst: Jeff Robinson (Phone: 515-281-4614) (jeff.robinson@legis.state.ia.us)

Fiscal Note Version – As Passed by the Senate

#### **Description**

<u>Senate File 2161</u>, as passed by the Senate, increases lowa's Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) from the current 7.0% of the federal credit amount to 20.00% of the federal amount. The increase is phased-in over three tax years with 20.0% effective for tax year 2014 and after. The increase to 13.0% is retroactive to January 1, 2012.

#### **Background**

The Iowa EITC is refundable, meaning Iowa EITC beneficiaries receive refunds if the EITC exceeds individual income taxes owed. According to the Department of Revenue, the current 7.0% Iowa EITC reduces net General Fund revenue by \$28.0 million (FY 2012 estimate).

#### **Fiscal Impact**

Increasing Iowa's refundable EITC from the current 7.0% of the federal credit to 20.0% over three tax years will reduce Iowa General Fund revenue by the following amounts.

# General Fund Net Revenue Reduction (dollars in millions)

(401410)					
	Re	Revenue			
	Red	Reduction			
FY 2012	\$	-0.2			
FY 2013	\$	-26.4			
FY 2014	\$	-31.3			
FY 2015	\$	-50.2			
FY 2016	\$	-49.9			

The impact in future years will be similar to the impact in FY 2016.

The lowa EITC is refundable. Changes to refundable tax credits do not impact the local option income surtax for schools calculation.

#### Source

Department of Revenue income tax model

/s/ Holly M. Lyons February 20, 2012

The fiscal note for this bill was prepared pursuant to <u>Joint Rule 17</u> and the correctional and minority impact statements were prepared pursuant to <u>Iowa Code Section 2.56</u>. Data used in developing this fiscal note is available from the Fiscal Services Division of the Legislative Services Agency upon request.



## **Fiscal Note**



Fiscal Services Division

**HF 2043** – Administrative Rules Limit (LSB 5133HV)

Analyst: Aaron Todd (Phone: 515-281-6764) (aaron.todd@legis.state.ia.us)

Fiscal Note Version - New

Requested by Representative Running-Marquardt

#### Description

<u>House File 2043</u> restricts state agencies from enacting administrative rules or policies that exceed the federal statutes, regulations, or policies that they implement, except as specifically allowed by state law. Any portion of existing rules or policies that exceed specific federal requirements is automatically superseded by the federal requirements.

#### **Background**

State agencies have the authority to propose and implement administrative rules and policies to implement State and Federal law. The Iowa Administrative Procedure Act of 1975, Iowa Code chapter <a href="17A">17A</a>, articulates the Iowa agency rulemaking process. Rules may not be unreasonable, arbitrary, capricious, or otherwise beyond the authority of an agency. This Bill adds language that restricts agencies from exceeding the federal statutes, regulations, or policies that they implement, except as allowed by state law.

#### **Assumptions**

It is difficult for agencies to determine the exact number of rules and policies impacted by <a href="HF 2043"><u>HF 2043</u></a>. However, information provided by agencies allows for the identification of categories of potential fiscal impact. These categories include but may not be limited to:

#### Administrative Rulemaking Process

The Administrative Rules Review Committee (ARRC) may need to take action to determine a uniform process for agencies to follow when reviewing existing and proposed rules for compliance with minimum federal requirements, especially when the federal requirements are broad, permissive, or otherwise vague. While <a href="HF 2043">HF 2043</a> states that existing rules that exceed federal requirements are automatically superceded by the federal requirements, a formal review of existing agency rules for this potential conflict will ensure that rules are being consistently applied to all impacted entities.

Changes to Existing Benefits, Funding Distribution Formulas, and Fines/Penalties

Some agencies implement federal programs that allow broad agency discretion or optional methods of implementation. Under <a href="HF 2043">HF 2043</a>, these instances would require the General Assembly to determine the course of action. For programs such as Medicaid, the General Assembly would witness a significant increase in necessary legislative action to keep the program running, including but not limited to rate setting, diagnosis and procedure code changes, waiver programs that are not specified in federal policy, requirements to provide services deemed necessary but not expressly stated in federal or state law, and other examples where the State is provided broad authority to implement the program.

Another example may include federal funding programs that do not require a local match but the State agency has included a local match requirement in an effort to distribute funds more widely. Without express permission from the General Assembly, such action would not be allowed. There may also be instances when the State may experience a decrease in revenue due to a reduction or elimination of fees or penalties associated with rules that are no longer enforceable.

In the instances noted above, the costs to the State and other entities may be reduced as optional programming may not be implemented; however, costs may also be incurred as decision-making timelines may be extended and funding opportunities may be lost during the Legislative interim. HF 2043 does not preclude the General Assembly from taking actions to provide permission to State entities to continue specified benefits or services that exceed federal requirements, or to enact a process to manage instances when federal time limitations may not permit a department to receive permission from the General Assembly during the Legislative interim to implement a rule or policy. If enacted, the General Assembly may wish to receive a list and description of any anticipated permissive actions needed during the Legislative interim and ensure that necessary precautions are in place to avoid potentially costly delays or missed funding opportunities.

#### Vague or Broad Federal Authority

Interpretation when federal law provides only vague or broad authority may result in a greater number of appeals or court action. In such instances, it is conceivable that private or other governmental entities may believe that a State agency is exceeding its authority or, conversely, entities may believe a State agency can regulate, fund, or monitor a program or service beyond actions specified in agency rules or policy. While difficult to estimate, appeals and legal challenges have the potential to be costly to the State.

#### Agency Review and Compliance

Agencies will need to dedicate staff time to the review of existing rules to ensure compliance with <a href="HF 2043">HF 2043</a> and to implement any processes determined by the ARRC. Staff time may be significant for agencies that implement a large volume of rules, such as the Department of Human Services (DHS), and will include program administrators, managers, and administrative rules authors and reviewers. It is difficult to estimate the staff hours that may need to temporarily shift from other duties or contract/hire for this purpose. There is a potential for long-term savings resulting from decreased staff time necessary to write, review, and implement rules that are no longer permitted under <a href="HF 2043">HF 2043</a>. One-time software programming costs for financial accounting changes is expected.

#### Local Governments

While difficult to estimate, there may be instances when local governments experience cost savings as a result <u>HF 2043</u>, such as in the area of environmental or historic preservation rules and policies.

#### Fiscal Impact

House File 2043 will impact all State agencies, but the fiscal impact to the State General Fund cannot be determined. Agencies that experience a large volume of rule writing and changes on a regular basis, such as DHS, will be impacted the most. All agencies will need to devote staff resources to ensure existing rules are in compliance with HF 2043. The General Assembly is likely to experience an increase in legislative action necessary to expressly authorize implementation of broad or vague federal authority, and to make decisions on optional programming. Delays in decision-making may have a significant impact on program funding. Interpretations of broad or vague federal authorization may result in an increase in potentially

costly appeals and litigation. There may be cost savings to State and local governments depending on how the Bill ultimately impacts rules promulgated by the departments. The potential savings are indeterminate.

#### **Sources**

Department of Education
Department of Human Services
Iowa League of Cities
Iowa Utilities Board – Department of Commerce
LSA Analysis

 /s/ Holly M. Lyons	
February 20, 2012	

The fiscal note for this bill was prepared pursuant to **Joint Rule 17** and the correctional and minority impact statements were prepared pursuant to **Iowa Code Section 2.56**. Data used in developing this fiscal note, is available from the Fiscal Services Division of the Legislative Services Agency upon request.